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Defaults nudging people toward desired choices are increasingly common. Critics argue that undisclosed nudges are unethical, but proponents caution disclosure could render defaults ineffective. We show that nudges are effective when disclosed. Additionally, despite seeming less ethical when benefitting business versus society, disclosed defaults are equally effective regardless of beneficiary.
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The purpose of this study is to integrate regulatory focus theory and efficacy to better understand the effectiveness of guilt and shame appeals in distracted driving advertising campaigns. The study predicts that the fit between emotional appeals and regulatory foci will increase persuasion and it occurs through different efficacy salience.
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This research explores the fairness implications of promotional campaigns that offer participants rewards to improve their status in achievement-based hierarchies. The catalyst for this examination is a recent Pepsico promotion that offered experience points redeemable in popular video games to help those who purchase to rise in the game’s rankings.

Understanding the Consumer Culture of Self-Help: Fun, Play and Prayers
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In this research, using a mixed-method qualitative approach, we investigate the consumer culture of self-help. Although self-help has been studied from a number of disciplinary perspectives, the area has been largely neglected by consumer researchers. Our research reveals four distinct types of self-help values: destination, gratification, therapeutic and spirituality.
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Two studies examine whether consumers’ tendency to eat more of large versus small food portions extend to bundles of vices and virtues and show that the bias is attenuated for perceptually integrated vice-virtue combinations but that it remains robust when the virtue and vice are perceptually separable.