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While prior research began to understand how consumers perform active roles in production and value creation in brand culture, questions still remain on how consumers can acquire and achieve a brand co-creation successfully in the marketplace. Through the concepts of working consumers, brand culture and spirituality, we employ netnography to explore consumers in an online community called Palungjit.com - one of the largest online Buddhist communities including a forum on spirituality and sharing amulet information. The findings reveal that consumers can take a role of brand creators through sharing, giving, and sacrificing their own spiritual experiences. This study contributes to consumer research by proposing a framework of how brand can generate ‘faith’ to eliminate ‘fear’, and can fulfill ‘desire’ in consumer consumption.
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INTRODUCTION
In recent years, consumer researchers have highlighted the active, productive and "unmanageable" consumer. From this perspective, consumers demonstrate active roles, in which they contribute to both production and consumption. Consumers can exert control over their own consumption (e.g., Firta et al. 1995), co-create value (e.g., Arvidsson 2005), or function as working consumers (Cova and Dalli 2009; Zwick, Bonsu, and Darmody 2008; see also Gabriel and Lang, 2006). Thus, the boundaries are blurred between producers and consumers (Arvidsson 2005; Firta et al. 1995; Pettinger 2004). Not only does this affect the role of consumers in the ecosystem of consumption, but also corporate business strategies for dealing with active and powerful consumers.

Prior brand culture studies investigated consumers’ individual and collective projects such as self-identity, consumption experience, group identity, and continuing learning process (e.g., Fournier 1988; Muñiz and O’Guinn 2001; Cova and Pace 2006; Pongsakornrungsilp and Schroeder 2009); however, there is growing interest in investigating how consumers co-create brands. While consumers may perform a role in co-creating a symbolic meaning of brand, questions remain over how consumers acquire and achieve this role of brand co-creation successfully in the marketplace. Although Muñiz and Schau (2005) demonstrate brand co-creation through the religion-like myth of stigmatic branding, we provide an additional perspective of brand co-creation through a basic drive of consumption—"fear"—within sub-brand communities. Therefore, we draw the concept of spirituality along with brand culture (Schroeder 2009) in order to understand the active role of consumers in brand creation within an important, but overlooked domain.

This study proposes to show how consumers are put to work within the working consumer concept through spirituality and brand culture. We begin the paper by drawing together several concepts, including working consumers, brand culture, and spirituality, to provide a conceptual framework. We then describe a netnographic study of an amulet community. We explore how a collective group of amulet consumers co-create the spirituality of consumption, which contributes to create an individual’s "faith" in order to counter fear, from Palungjit.com [http://www.palungjit.com]. Finally, we provide our interpretation of working consumers building brands through spiritual experience. We found that consumers take the role of brand co-creators through the spirituality of consumption. In this sense, the "product" created by producers is spiritually transformed by consumer agency (Borgerson 2005). We also demonstrate how spirituality emerges and relates to consumption and helps to co-create brands.

“Working Consumers”: An Active Role of Consumers
Cova and Dalli (2009) argue that the active roles of consumers can be seen from different research streams such as consumer tribes, service dominant logic of marketing, and consumer empowerment. Although these research streams are demonstrated in different approaches, their convergence is that consumers can co-create value with companies or other consumers (Pongsakornrungsilp and Schroeder 2009). This value co-creation leads to the focus of consumers as workers (Arvidsson 2005). Cova and Dalli (2009) propose working consumers as an alternative idea of value co-creation that consumers employ immaterial labor as a set of competencies or resources to co-create value with companies or other consumers.
of skills, practices, artifacts and qualifications that consumers can acquire during their spiritual journey individually and collectively within a social group (Skousgaard, 2006). For example, psychological research has shown that spiritual faith can enhance patients’ ability to cope with serious and chronic illnesses (e.g., Brady et al. 1999; Miller and Thoresen 2003). Furthermore, in primitive cultures, spirituality was found in everyday lives through the binding of production and consumption processes (Swanson 1960). Kvet (1991) argues that it is the separation between these two processes that leads to the diminishing of the spirituality inherent in preparing and using objects that sustain and enhance the living.

The spiritual consumption can act as an essential cultural marker that allows consumers to create cultural and social distinctions among themselves in competition for symbolic power, or recognition, within a specific subculture (Muñiz and Schau 2005; Rindfleish 2005; Skousgaard 2007; Verter 2003; Ward 2003). Thus, acquiring spiritual experience can provide valuable cultural resources for social construction of meaning (Besecke 2001). We argue that spirituality has the potential to help explain the dynamics between the self, consumption process and brand. This study aims to demonstrate how consumers can become involved in the value co-creation process by sharing, exchanging and empowering their spirituality.

CASE BACKGROUND: AMULET CONSUMPTION IN THAILAND

Thailand is one of the world’s largest producers, sellers and exporters of amulets, which are available in almost every Thai city and village (CNN 2009). Amulets have formed an important part of Thai cultural society since the 19th century, and wearing amulets is quite common among contemporary Thai Buddhists. Although the traditional value of amulets is to realize the praise of Buddha and strengthen Buddhism, Buddhists also wear amulets to show respect for venerable monks. Moreover, many Thai Buddhists possess amulets to achieve two main goals: (1) creating benefits—wealth, charm or success, and/or (2) acquiring protection—from death, injury or illness (Payomyong, 1986).

It is worth noting that an amulet’s brand name often indicates its producer, geography of origin, history, symbolic meaning, knowledge and myth (Triyumpawai 1965). Thai consumers usually obtain their amulets at Buddhist temples after making a donation or by perusing amulet stalls in open-air markets where an amulet may cost as little as 30 baht (US $1) to as much as 3 million baht (US $100,000) in the case of an antique or a rare amulet.

Amulets come in all styles and shapes. They can be made from a variety of materials including gypsum, clay, metal, wood, bone or plaster (Figure 1). The ingredients often include sacred ashes from incense, colored dust from a temple’s bricks, and venerable monks’ hair or bones. Evolving from the original forms of the image of Buddha, amulets today come in many different styles such as lockets, statuettes and coins.

To produce amulets, producers need to follow an ethical code of conduct. Under the Buddhist code of conduct, producers of amulets may not promote or advertise the objects to consumers, thus limiting their duties primarily to the production process. In many cases, venerable monks produce amulets. Although the code of conduct does not absolutely prohibit a marketing role for producers, an infringement can damage one’s trustworthiness and reputation. The duty of being promoters and brand creators are often managed by consumers. Therefore, the context of amulet consumption can provide a compelling case for understanding how consumers assume the role of brand co-creators.

METHODOLOGY

We propose to understand how consumers are put into the role of workers to co-create brand through their spiritual experience from an online community organized around amulet ownership: Palungjit.com. This site is one of the most popular online Buddhism communities in the world, with 290,171 members as of December 2009 (Palungjit.com 2009). We conducted the empirical netnographic study by observing two sub-brand communities: Kruba In (1,987 posts since January 2009, with 61,203 views) and Luang Pu Moon (3,219 posts since April 2007, with 203,320 views). The term “Kruba” (or “Master”) is used to address a venerable monk in the North of Thailand, whereas “Luang Pu” is used to address a senior venerable monk in general.

We chose these two online amulet communities for several reasons. First, two of the co-authors have a great deal of experience in the amulet phenomenon. Second, the intersection of spirituality and commerce found in these communities provide a useful case for studies in brand culture. Third, the online fora provide much discourse around consumption, brands and spirituality. Finally, amulet producers generally refrain from marketing or branding their amulets—thus amulets offer intriguing objects of consumer co-creation.

We employed different perspectives of analysis in this study through the lens of identity—with a focus on the cultural dualisms of masculinity and femininity and insider and outsider. One co-author
of this article is a male member of these sub-brand communities, while another is female. We employed participant and non-participant observation to collect data of working consumers as "recognized cultural members" (Kozinets 2002) by gaining permission from a community administrator. We also used personal messaging (PM) to follow up on additional data from prominent informants.

**FINDINGS: BRAND CO-CREATION THROUGH THE MYTH OF AMULETS**

In general, we found that consumers obtain the promotional roles and employ different spiritual strategies to co-create myth of venerable monks and brand value of amulets. In this section, we present the findings by demonstrating the process of brand co-creation through spiritual experience.

**Process of Brand Co-Creation through Spiritual Experience**

After reading and participating in these communities, we developed a framework to demonstrate a process of brand co-creation through myth-making and the production process that form and co-create a spiritual experience. As presented in Figure 2, the findings are divided into two levels: (1) a collective level, and (2) an individual level. Those myths are employed to co-create brand value within each sub-brand community. The spiritual experience is collectively formed to solve an individual's life goals–by influencing the motivations that derive from fear and desire.

On the individual level, the linkage between faith and fear leads to desire and drives consumers to achieve their life goals. We do not consider consumer fear as an emotional aspect of the consumer experience (Havlena and Holbrook 1986). We instead view fear and desire as "Kilesa" (Wattanasuwan and Elliott 1999) or Buddhism moral’s drive of consumption. We found that consumers consume amulets to eliminate their fear of poverty, loneliness, death and any harm as mentioned in the following section. Faith is believed to modulate individual’s motivations–the primal ones of “fear” and “desire.”

On the collective level, working consumers need to sacrifice themselves by supplying effort and financial support. They are the key in joining spirituality and the production process to co-create the brand through myth-making stories. Their efforts enhance the faith of consumers that generates their desire in particular amulets. This faith is a collective benefit, but also an individual’s own, from spiritual experience–their belief toward something that can help them achieve their life goals. In this context, spiritual experience is accumulated and delivered through objects (amulets) as faith that consumers believe a particular amulet can facilitate achievement of their life goals.

**Spiritual Experience.** The spiritual experience discoursed in the communities is authentic experience with each venerable monk: personal meeting, sign, benefit, miracle and so forth. The spiritual experience is usually shared within the community by forming or co-creating the myth of venerable monks through the objects–amulets. The spiritual experience as a way of brand co-creation is formed in several different ways.

First, the Myth of the Buddhist Saint revolves around unperturbed bodies and relics. The myth of the Buddhist Saint can be evidenced from the venerable monks' unperturbed bodies when they pass away. Unperturbed bodies of venerable monks tend to be kept in clear coffins for their disciples—and other Buddhists—to worship. However, some bodies are cremated at a later date—Kruba In’s body was contained in a clear coffin for four years before he was cremated in 2007.

Both Kruba In and Luang Pu Moon are believed to be Buddhist Saints because of their unperturbed bodies. However, Luang Pu Moon's disciples do not employ this myth as brand co-creation, while Kruba In’s disciples do. Members share the myth of Kruba In and also exhibit pictures of his unperturbed body. After Kruba In’s death, his hair and bones became relics, which is a symbol of becoming a Buddhist Saint. During the production process, some parts of the relic were mixed as the key ingredient to produce the valuable Kruba In amulet series. The following post is an example of the myth of the Buddhist Saint:

…”after discussion with Khun Numtip yesterday, I went home to look in a casket which I kept Kruba In’s hairs
Co-Creation through Fear, Faith and Desire

As mentioned in the post above, Wannabexcite shares the myth of the Buddhist Saint’s relic through Kruba In’s hairs from his collection. Numthip, a fellow member, posted his collection of hair relics to support Wannabexcite’s post as shown in Figure 3. Moreover, another member, Chakapong, has supported this discussion by posting Kruba In’s cremains, which have evolved into relics in crystal form.

Another post from Specialized also extends the myth of the Buddhist Saint. Specialized claims he witnessed Kruba In’s golden body in his dream, which he later found that his dream became true: Kruba In’s disciples had coated pure gold layers on his unputrefied body before he was cremated (see Figure 4). In Specialized’s dream, Kruba In was sleeping in his bedroom. Posts from Wannabexcite and Specialized are the co-creation of the myth that is evidenced through the relics and unputrefied body. These posts contribute to collectively increase the belief and faith in these particular venerable monks.

Second, the Spirituality of Amulets: supernatural power of creation and protection. As mentioned earlier, amulets are believed to benefit possessors in two major ways: creation and protection. These benefits cited in an amulet’s myth are the prominent spirituality that is believed to exist in nearly all amulets. This myth shows how consumers consume the spiritual experience from their venerable monks.

(1) Creation of Benefits. Most consumers desire to become rich. To gain financial benefits, some believe that possessing amulets will help them gain the experience of spirituality as shown in the following post:

“My friend told me that Luang Pu Moon’s amulet is the best for bringing fortune and good luck. I had a business problem with my sales volume shrinking … since I got this amulet, my sales have dramatically increased” HAWAIIO (April 30, 2007).

HAWAIIO shares her direct spiritual experience from the Luang Pu Moon amulet that she obtained following her friend’s suggestion. She mentions that the Luang Pu Moon amulet helped increase her sales. In the following post, another member supports HAWAIIO by mentioning his lottery success:

“… I began collecting Luang Pu Moon amulets after reading Luang Pu Moon’s biography and his supernatural power … I have won the lotto 5 times in 4 months (I had never won before). … I am very lucky because of Luang Pu Moon’s extraordinary power … not only obtaining good fortune, but also having a good job, family life …” Piccachoo (February 22, 2008).

Piccachoo supports HAWAIIO’s post on the contribution of spiritual experience from Luang Pu Moon amulets, which gave him luck to win the lottery. These stories strengthen members’ belief in the mythical power of the amulets, which they believe can help them succeed in obtaining their desires, particularly on these two cases of gaining financial benefits.

(2) Protection. Another contribution of amulets is the protection of possessors from any harm. This benefit is one of the basic goals for any humans. In the following post, a survivor’s friend described this myth of amulets following an accident:
“… she was driving and dozed off … she heard a sound ‘Wake up.’ She then woke up and hit the brakes, but it was too late. Her car crashed into a truck … this is a miracle from her Kruba In’s amulet, which protected her from major injury …” Wannabexcite (January 26, 2009).

Wannabexcite extends this myth by attaching pictures of the accident and telling the story of the survivor from the car with pictures showing the crashed car. Many members believe Kruba In’s supernatural power, or “Baramee,” saved this survivor’s life. This story contributes to the collective accumulation of the strength of the myth of amulets—that they can save people from harm, one of the most basic fears of all humans. Venerable monks and amulets embody faith that consumers believe.

(3) Supernatural Power. The myth of supernatural power spreads through word-of-mouth by disciples who have direct spiritual experiences. This myth can reinforce the spirituality and faith in amulets because many members believe the supernatural power of venerable monks can be transformed into amulets. Moreover, the greater such power a venerable monk obtains, the greater power his amulets have.

Supernatural power tends to emerge in the form of a miracle or portent. On one occasion, for instance, Kruba In told his visiting disciples, who were preparing to leave, to stay at the monastery a bit longer, though he offered no reason. They later learned that a chemical explosion had occurred on their exit route at the same time they were preparing to leave. This incident has made them believe in the venerable monk’s supernatural power.

Production process as value proposition. In this study, we found that the production process plays an important role as a value proposition: a promise from producers to customers that products or services could help them create value for themselves (Vargo and Lusch 2004). The evidence from our empirical study found that the production process of amulets, including incantation, material, quality, and quantity, could play a role as brand identity and a source of brand co-creation. Members can extend the discussion and co-create the myths through this process.

In the production process, there is a myth of miracle or “Pati-harn”—supernatural power, especially with traditionally molded amulets. In the following post, Ruk Pu shares this myth:

“…Pra Krung Jareonlap is a very special amulet, as Luang Pu Moon wrote ‘Yunt’ (mystic symbol) through the air and put Jao Num Ngeon (supernatural substance) into the crucible” Ruk Pu (April 30, 2009).

Ruk Pu mentions that Luang Pu Moon can employ supernatural powers to reinforce the production process. This is one of the myths that employ the production process into brand co-creation. This myth has co-created Pra Krung Jareonlap, one of the amulet series of Luang Pu Moon, into the most wanted and expensive amulet of his series (35,000 baht or US $1,000) (Palungjit.com 2009).

Myth-Making. Spiritual experience discourses are the interaction, sharing and co-creation of supernatural power that benefits possessors in their life goals of creation and/or protection. In addition, this process of myth storytelling praises the glory of venerable monks and also co-creates symbolic meaning of amulet consumption—as also found in the Apple Newton brand community (Muñiz and Schau 2005). We believe this process is the key discourse in this study. Moreover, we demonstrate the additional process of myth making through “endorsement” and “exhibition” that consumers employ to co-create the myths of Kruba In and Luang Pu Moon.

First, an endorsement process characterizes brand co-creation through the myth of amulets by having other great venerable monks, amulet specialists or other prominent people involved in endorsements. In Kruba In’s discourses, the endorsement is used as the brand co-creation to increase the spirituality of Kruba In disciples and amulet possessors. For example, Krid99 posts many quotes from the greatest venerable monks, who are very well known and highly respected by millions of disciples, to endorse Kruba In as seen below:

“… you should go to worship Kruba In at Chiang Mai, and learn from Kruba In. Kruba In is the great venerable monk … Luang Por Kuay Chutinnataro, Wat Kositaram, Chainath” Krid99 (January 20, 2009).

“…Kruba In had a pure mind (attaining nirvana) by Kruba Jao Chaiwongsapattana, Wat Priputtabat Huay Tom, Lumpoon” Krid99 (January 20, 2009).

Krid99 quotes Kruba Jao Chaiwongsapattana, who mentioned having a pure mind—or attaining nirvana. This means Kruba In released himself from the samsaric cycle, which is explained by Wattanasuwan and Elliott (1999) as the cycle of birth-death-rebirth. Only a person with good morals, a pure mind, and a good meditation practice can attain nirvana and be freed from the samsaric cycle.

This kind of endorsement is also found in Luang Pu Moon discourses when members presented Luang Pu Moon amulets to other venerable monks. The following post from a member is an example of this endorsement:
“…many of the greatest venerable monks told me that this amulet contains the supernatural power…” Duriantod (November 12, 2009).

The endorsement of Luang Pu Moon tends to involve the perception of supernatural powers of Luang Pu Moon amulets by other great venerable monks, whereas Kruba In’s endorsement tends to relate to the perception of pure mind as Buddhist Saint. These different ways of endorsement can contribute to the formation of spirituality from the amulets.

Second, Exhibition is a process of sharing members’ amulet collections. To exhibit their own collection, members are likely to discuss the characteristics of a particular amulet, its worthiness, and where and how they acquired it. These lead to sharing and extending stories from other members about a particular amulet. The following conversations are an example of the amulet exhibition from various members:

“…I have been collecting Kruba In amulets since last week because I read your conversations here … these are my two latest amulet collections” Pudsusdoi (February 3, 2009).

“…the first one is very beautiful. How much did it cost you?” Numthip (February 3, 2009).

“… not expensive, I got it from my mate at a reasonable price” Pudsusdoi (February 4, 2009).

“… very beautiful. The authentic codes are very obvious … some amulets don’t have these codes because producers forgot to put on the codes. These amulets you have are not counterfeits” Wannabexcite (February 5, 2009).

As seen in these conversations, Pudsusdoi collects Kruba In amulets because he participates in this community and perceives Kruba In’s spirituality—through storytelling. He shows his latest collections to express how much he forms the spiritual experience toward Kruba In through the amulets. Brand is co-created through recounting the myth.

Sacrifice. It is another important process that shows consumer dedication through participation and reciprocity within the community. These consumers participate voluntarily to co-create spirituality. They exert effort and expect no monetary benefits in return, as seen in the following post:

“Dear Shinray 01, to be honest, I do only voluntary help as PR and sharing information. To protect from misunderstanding that I gain benefits from taking this role, could you please contact and donate to Pra Ajarn Intorn directly? If you need any more information about other sacred objects, please don’t hesitate to contact me through personal message or call me at … I don’t sell amulets, but I only give them away for free…” Wannabexcite (January 19, 2009).

Wannabexcite is one of the working consumers who devote knowledge, time, effort, and amulet collections, etc., to strengthen their faith in venerable monks. Although their discourses and participation may influence increases in amulet prices or the desires (Kilesa) of possession, they do not intend to sell their amulet collections. Instead, they are willing to provide amulets free or at cost. Furthermore, the evidence can be seen from Wannabexcite’s response to other members when they ask for information or help. With reciprocity and voluntarism (Mathwick et al. 2008), members have chances to collect amulets and learn more about the brand story and myth of venerable monks. For working consumers like Wannabexcite, the more work they do, the prouder they are and more satisfaction they gain. These actions contribute to form the myth of brand value.

Moreover, free riders take advantage of entering the website to acquire valuable information without participation in the online community. This research therefore may face issues of validity and reliability of the storytelling from the online community. However, we attempt to choose sub-brand communities that have no business dealings or commercial transactions other than donations. This is important because to develop the spiritual experience, we found that sharing, giving, and sacrificing are the main objectives of working consumers. These are important factors to support brand creation because consumers are forming the co-consuming group to achieve collective goals instead of the goals of a particular entity.

Further studies could investigate the spiritual experience and myth of brand co-creation in non-religious related communities in order to develop the strong conceptual framework of spiritual experience. Another avenue is to link spirituality with “terror management” theory, which has been used in several consumer research studies (e.g., Arndt et al. 2004; Bonsu 2003; Rindfleisch and Burrowes 2004). Future research may require quantitative inquiry to investigate the relation among faith, fear and desire toward consumption.

**DISCUSSION: SPIRITUAL PRACTICE AND CONSUMPTION**

The findings show that consumers can collectively co-create brands by employing spiritual experience, production process, myth-making and sacrifice to form faith toward brand. This study provides an additional perspective of faith creation presented by Muñiz and Schau (2005) as we demonstrate the collective co-creation process against an individual’s fear from sub-brand communities. This study also adds endorsement and exhibition into Muñiz and Schau’s (2005) storytelling of myth-making. Moreover, this study also provides another perspective to understand consumer behavior by employing insights from Buddhist morality.

We conclude that, in general, most consumers have fears such as loneliness, poverty, injury and death. The spirituality discourse can transform fear into faith. In this way, faith is a belief in something that can assuage fear as well as arouse desires that Belk, Ger and Askegaard (2003) mention as a passion for achieving their life goals. Fear can also generate desire or individuals’ life projects. For example, some consumers are eager not to be viewed as unstylish among their peers. They may then buy fashionable clothing. On the other hand, faith is the consumers’ belief in brands or objects that can be employed to achieve their life goals or as identity projects, i.e., employing objects to construct their “self” (Belk, 1988) or collecting identity such as in the spirit of Liverpool FC fans (Pongsakornrungsilp and Schroeder 2009).

The spiritual discourses in the community can strengthen brand value and arouse members’ desires to possess the products. In this context, consumers have faith through the myth-making stories. They believe amulets can help them achieve their life goals—reducing their fears or accomplishing their desires. Myth-making is co-created through the sharing of direct worship or face-to-face meetings with venerable monks, as well as the history of each amulet’s production and acquisition. Moreover, the production process can be used as value proposition to co-create the myth of brand. Consumer discussions also strengthen brand value through history making of brand co-creation, whereby consumers tend to share their spiritual experiences with the collective group.
In this spiritual aspect of consumption, myth plays an important role to co-create brand value through storytelling within the community (Muñiz and Schau 2005). This process is the sacrifice that members participate in as they co-create the spirituality of consumption without expecting of monetary benefits in return. As mentioned by Pongsakornrungsilp and Schroeder (2009), sacrifice can clarify Cova and Dalli’s (2009) question why consumers co-create without getting paid for their efforts. It may be that whenever consumers get paid, their co-creation of myth and spiritual experience are not reliable, and the discourses are disvalued.

In the case of the Thai amulet market, cultural codes of brand, myths, history and storytelling can be seen through the process of the collective community. This involves not only how brands interact with culture, but also how brands interact with both culture and the collective consumers in order to co-create brand value (Schroeder 2009). This is similar to the way consumers employ objects to create symbolic meaning in their consumption (e.g., Miller 1998).
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